

# FIRST HALF YEARLY MONITORING REPORT

## OF

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, PUNE

## ON

# MID DAY MEAL SCHEME

FOR U.T. OF DADRA AND NAGAR HAVELI

# PERIOD: 1 ST OCTOBER, 2010 TO 31 ST MARCH, 2011

**DISTRICTS COVERED** 

**1. DADRA AND NAGAR HAVELI** 

# Contents

Sr. No.	Title	Page No.
1	Acknowledgement	3
2	Chapter 1: General Information	4
3	Chapter 2: District summary of the school report of MDM	7
4	Chapter 3: Half yearly monitoring report of MDM for District 1. Dadra and Nagar Haveli	10
5	Annexure <ol> <li>School list with DISE code and Criteria</li> </ol>	22
6	Abbreviations	23

## Acknowledgement

The Union Territory of Dadra and Nagar Haveli comprising 72 villages including Silvassa and Amli, the Census Town, forms a single district and single Tashil Union Territory. This report has been prepared for the Union Territory of Dadra and Nagar Haveli revealing the progress of Mid-Day-Meal programme in U.T. of Dadra Nagar Haveli till March, 2011 during the year 2010-11. This Report has been prepared on basis of the data collected from 40 schools which have been selected on the basis of criteria given by the Govt. of India.

We are grateful to Shri Sanjay Goyal (IAS), Collector and Chairman of SSA of U.T. of Dadra and Nagar Haveli, Shri K.S. Chandrashekhar, Chief Executive Officer and State Project Director, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Union Territory of Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Shri. Jayeshbhai Bhandari, District Education Officer (Academic) and many other officials and individuals at the District level who extended immense cooperation in the monitoring work, visits to the schools and data collection with respect to the tools prepared for the same. Visits to the 40 sample schools in district were well planned and organized. Dr. Satish Patel, Coordinator BRC and respective CRCs extended great cooperation with respect to the actual visits to the schools. The headmasters and the teachers at village level were very helpful.

We are grateful to the Honorable Chairman and the Honorable Member secretary, BoT, IIE for inspiring us to undertake this study and made valuable suggestions from time to time.

We thank all those who have helped us in this field study directly or indirectly. We are grateful to them all.

Pune 16 April, 2011 B.M. Naikare Nodal Officer of M.I & Coordinator SSA & MDM Monitoring

# 1<sup>st</sup> Half Yearly Monitoring Report of INDIAN INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION PUNE on MDM for UT of DADRA & NAGAR HAVELI for the period 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2010 to 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2011

SI.	Information	Details
No.		
1.	Period of the report	1 <sup>st</sup> October, 2010 to 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2011
2.	No. of Districts allotted	01
3.	District's name	Dadra and Nagar Haveli
4.	Month of visit to the Districts / Schools	(District 1) Dadra and Nagar Haveli
	(Information is to be given district wise i.e District 1, District 2, District 3 etc)	10/03/2011 to 21/03/2011
5.	Total number of elementary schools (primary and upper primary to be counted separately) in the Districts covered by MI	(District 1) Dadra and Nagar Haveli Primary- 294
	(Information is to be given district wise i.e District 1, District 2, District 3 etc.)	Upper Primary- 125
6.	Number of elementary schools monitored (primary and upper primary to be counted separately)	(District 1) Dadra and Nagar Haveli Primary- 18
	Information is to be given for district wise i.e District 1, District 2, District 3 etc)	Upper Primary- 22
7.	Types of school visited	
a)	Special training centers (Residential)	Does not exist
b)	Special training centers (Non Residential)	Does not exist
c)	Schools in Urban Areas	7
d)	School sanctioned with Civil works	3
e)	School from NPEGEL Blocks	3
f)	Schools having CWSN	3
g)	School covered under CAL programme	4
h)	KGBVs	1
8.	Number of schools visited by Nodal Officer of the Monitoring Institute	11

## Chapter 1 General Information

9.	Whether the draft report has been shared with	No
	the SPO : YES / NO	
10.	After submission of the draft report to the SPO	No
	whether the MI has received any comments	
	from the SPO: YES / NO	
11.	Before sending the reports to the GOI whether	No
	the MI has shared the report with SPO: YES/NO	

#### 12. Selection procedure for schools.

As per the Terms of Reference for Monitoring of SSA and MDM Programme by the Monitoring Institutes (2010-12) the following procedure was carried out for the selection of 40 schools in the district on the given criteria.

The State authorities (SPD) were approached by the monitoring institute and they were informed about the monitoring work assigned to the said institute. Similarly, the selection criteria fixed by the SSA and MDM authorities at national level were communicated to them, along with monitoring visit plan for the district. The State (here U.T.) authorities were requested to instruct the district authorities about the same and provide necessary information and arrangements. Accordingly the correspondence and discussion on telephone with DPO has been started. A detailed visit plan along with selection criteria of the schools had been sent well in advance to the district.

A meeting was organized at the district headquarter with the help of the district authorities to select the schools. This meeting was attended by the district authorities, BRC coordinator, and CRCs working at the district and block. The objectives of monitoring visit and the selection criteria and tools were discussed with them and their queries were clarified. With the help of DISE 2009-10 and the HHS data a list of the schools of the district was prepared by the BRC and CRCs which fulfilled most of the criteria. The list of 40 schools was discussed with the District Education officer and finalized. The School Report Cards 2009-10 of each school given in the list were collected from DPO. Then school visit plan was prepared and followed by the monitoring visit to the urban schools.

At the end of the day, the Nodal officer and Investigators came together to share their experiences and difficulties they had in the process of data collection at the school. At the end of the session, the school list along with the school report cards were handed over to the investigators for their reference. Under the guidance and day to day supervision of the Nodal officer, the process of data collection of 40 schools was completed.

#### 13. Selection Criteria for Schools

As suggested in the ToR the selection of 40 schools to be visited was made on the basis of the given criteria.

- 1. Higher gender gap in enrolment
- 2. Higher proportion of SC/ST students
- 3. Low retention and higher dropout rate
- 4. The school has a minimum of three CWSN
- 5. The habitation where the school is located at has sizeable number of out of school children
- 6. The habitations where the school is located at witnesses in-bound and outbound seasonal migration
- 7. The ward/ unit of planning where the school is located at is known to have sizeable number of urban deprived children
- 8. The school is located in a forest or far flung area
- The habitation where the school is located at witnesses recurrent floods or some other natural calamity

And

- 10. School covered under CAL Programme
- 11. School sanctioned with civil works in current year.
- 12. School from NPEGEL blocks.
- 13. KGBV

# Chapter 2

# **District Summary of the School Report of MDM**

### A. At school level

1.	REGULARITY IN SERVING MEAL:
	All schools were serving hot cooked meal daily. Interruption in 9 schools during
	June- July and August 2010 was noticed due to delay in receipt of food grains.
2.	TRENDS:
	All children enrolled in the school were opted for the Mid-day-Meal. No extent of
	variation was noticed between the number of children availing Mid-Day-Meal as
	per MDM register and actual number of children availed MDM on the day of visit.
3.	<b>REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS TO SCHOOL LEVEL:</b>
	In 9 schools (38.46%) food grains were received irregularly. The buffer stock of
	one month was maintained in 27 schools (69.23%). The quantity of food grains
	being supplied was as per marked weight in all schools (100%). The food grains
	were delivered at the door step in 37 schools (94.87%). The quality of food grain
	was found to be good in all schools.
4.	REGULARITY IN DELIVERING COOKING COST TO SCHOOL LEVEL:
	None of the schools had received cooking cost in advance. It was received after a
	gap of 2/3 months.
5.	SOCIAL EQUITY:
	No discrimination of any kind was observed in any school. In all schools, the Mid-
	day-Meal is being served by the cook and the helper. The children sit or stood in
	queue, take meal and then sit in a row at school verandah. They recited a prayer
	and took the meal.
6.	VARIETY OF MENU:
	In all schools (100%), a weekly menu was displayed at the place easily noticeable
	to community. In some school, we observed the information about the quantity of
	food grains per child @ 100 gram, 150 gram was also displayed.
7.	VARIETY OF MENU:
	In all schools (100%) variety in the food preparation as given in prescribed menu
	such as rice, dal and vegetables or khichadi were found. Seasonal green
	vegetables were found to be used in Mid-day-Meal.
8.	QUALITY & QUANTITY OF MEAL :
	In all schools (100%) quality of food was found to be good. In all schools (100%)

	the quantity of meal was found to be more than enough for a single child. In all
	schools (100%) the children were happy with what they were being served in their
	mid-day meal. But U.T. of Dadra and Nagar Haveli had stopped the good practice
	of giving banana as supplementary food thrice in a week was followed earlier.
9.	SUPPLEMENTARY:
	All schools (100%) had maintained a Health Card for each child. Health check up
	was found to be conducted annually in all schools. Micronutrients and deworming
	medicines were given to all needy children. These medicines were given annually
	in all schools.
10.	STATUS OF COOKS:
	In all schools, a cook and helper appointed by the Department served the meal
	except one school at Payaripada in Dudhani patelad. U.T. of Dadra & Nagar Haveli
	had appointed one cook, one helper and one water boy on daily wages in all
	schools. Most of them were from ST community. The norms laid down by the Govt
	of India are yet not fulfilled by the U.T. But at present 3 persons are engaged for
	this purpose. The payment given to the cooks and helpers was found to be
	delayed by 15-20 days in every month.
11.	INFRASTRUCTURE:
	A separate Pucca Kitchen shed was constructed and in use in 5 schools (12.82%).
	The kitchen shed were constructed by the District panchayat.
12.	PUCCA KITCHEN:
	Where the kitchen shed was not available, the food was cooked in the school
	verandah in 7 schools (23.08%), unutilized spare classroom in 19 schools
	(51.28%), vacant teachers' quarters in 7 schools, (17.95%), under stairway in 3
	schools and hostel kitchen in one school. The food grains were stored in classroom
	in 24 schools (61.54%), Headmaster's office in 3 schools, vacant teachers' quarter
	in 3 schools, separate store room in 5 schools and in kitchen shed in 3 schools.
13.	POTABLE WATER:
	All schools had potable water for cooking and drinking.
14.	UTENSILS:
	All schools had adequate utensils for cooking. It was found that the administration
	of U.T. of Dadra and Nagar Haveli had provided plates to all children which is an
	exmplery.
15.	FUEL:
	L.P.G. was used as fuel to prepare the Mid-day Meal in all schools (100%).

nd
ken
or
the
at
re
r
s
0
5
t

## HALF YEARLY MONITROING REPORT OF MDM

## For District 1: U.T. of Dadra and Nagar Haveli

(i)	Period of the Report	01-10-2010 to 31-03-2011
(ii)	Date of visit to the District/Schools	10-03-2011 to 21-03-2011.

#### At school Level

1.	REGULARITY IN SERVING MEAL:				
	Whether the school is serving hot cooked meal daily? If there was				
	inte	rruption, what was the exter	nt and rea	sons for the s	ame?
	39 so	chools and one KGBV selected or	n the basis	of criteria giver	n by the Govt of
	India	were visited during 10-21 Marc	h 2011. All	schools were s	erving hot cooked
	meal	daily, except for the interruption	n period no	ticed in 9 schoo	ols. Though we had
	visite	ed the schools in March 2011, th	e MDM reco	ords was exami	ned from beginning
	of th	e academic year, i.e. 12 June 2	010. Extent	of the delay ra	anging of 10 days in
	2 scł	nools, 11-20 days in 4 schools ar	nd 21-30 d	ays in 3 schools	s was noticed due to
	delay	/ in receipt of food grains.			
2.	TRE	NDS:			
	Exte	ent of variation (As per schoo	ol records	vis-à-vis actu	al position/status
	on t	he day of visit)			
	Sr	Details	Boys	Girls	Total
	No				
	1.	Enrollment	4654	4467	9121
	2.	No of children opted for	4654	4467	9121
		Mid-day-Meal			
	3.	No. of children attending the	3852	3816	7668
		school on the day of visit			
	4.	No. of children availing MDM as	3852	3816	7668
		Per MDM Register			
	5.	No. of children actually availing	3852	3816	7668
		MDM on the day of visit	2050	2050	7010
	6.	No. of children availing MDM on the previous day	3950	3856	7812
		the previous day			

				Mid-day-Meal.Variation No	
	extent of variation except one school namely CPS Silvassa (Marathi medium) was				
			-	M as per MDM register and	
		•		ne day of visit. 84.06 percent	
		•		6.65 percent of children had	
	-			tal of 226 children were not	
takin	g MDM. Discussion wit	h teachers and	the childre	en revealed that these childre	
were	from well to do family	and they did no	ot like MD	М.	
REG	ULARITY IN DELI	/ERING FOO	D GRAIN	IS TO SCHOOL LEVEL:	
(i)	Is school/implemen	ting agency re	eceiving	food grains regularly? If	
ther	e is delay in deliveri	ng food grains	s, what is	the extent of delay and	
reas	ons for the same?				
30 so	chools (76.92%) had re	ceived food gra	ins regula	rly and there was a delay in	
deliv	ering food grains in 9 s	chools (23.07%	). Details	are given below-	
Sr	Name of the school/	DIES code	Extent	Details	
No	village		of Delay		
1	P.S (Guj) Umarvarni	26010104001	19 days	12-30 June 2010,	
2	P.S Dungarpada	26010102101	11 days	15-20 July 2010 and	
				3-7 August 2010	
3	P.S Akalbara (Bonta)	26010100701	17 days	20-31 July 2010 and	
				3-7 August 2010	
4	P.S Kuvapada	26010100505	10 days	22-31 July 2010	
5	P.S (Guj) Morpada	26010103501	49 days	12-21 June 2010, 29June to	
				20 July 2010, 12-30 Oct 2010,	
6	P.S (Mar) Karajgam	26010105801	28 days	12-21 June 2010, 1-8 July	
				2010, 1-10 August 2010	
7	P.S (Guj) Vaijalpada Sayali	26010102105	29 days	17 July to 14 August 2010,	
8	P.S (Guj) Ozarpada	26010102005	10 days	22-30 July 2010	
9	P.S (Gujrati) Nana	26010100701	20 days	22-31 July 2010,	
	Randha			1-10 August 2010	
(ii)	Is buffer stock of or	ne-month's re	quireme	nt maintained?	
Tt wa	is noticed that the buff	er stock of one	month wa	s maintained in 27 schools	
				n remaining 12 schools	
-	7%).			T TETHUNING IZ SCHOUS	
	/ /0].				

marked/indicated weight?
It was reported that the quantity of food grains being supplied was as per marked
weight in all schools (100%).
(iv) Is the food grain delivered at the school?
It was reported that the food grains were delivered at the door in 37 schools
(94.87%). 2 schools namely Maragpada (khadoli) and paladpada in Amboli
patelad had reported that food grains were not delivered at the school because
there is no proper road to transport.
(v) Is the quality of food grain good?
The quality of food grain was found to be good in all schools.
REGULARITY IN DELIVERING COOKING COST TO SCHOOL LEVEL:
(i) Is school/implementing agency receiving cooking cost in advance
regularly? If there is delay in delivering cooking cost, what is the extent
of delay and reasons for it?
None of the schools had received cooking cost in advance. It was received after a
gap of 2/3 months. It was found that the cooking cost of June- July 2010 was paid
in September 2010 and of August- September 2010, was paid in December 2010.
All schools reported that though the bills were submitted in time due to
administrative procedure it was delayed.
(ii) In case of delay, how school/implementing agency manages to
ensure that there is no disruption in the feeding programme?
The school head master was purchaseing things like vegetables from his own
pocket or on credit from local shopkeeper and ensured the continuity of the
feeding programme.
(iii) Is cooking cost paid by Cash or through banking channel?
In all schools cooking cost was paid by cash.

5.	SOCIAL EQUITY:
	i) Did you observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in
	cooking or serving or seating arrangements?
	No discrimination of any kind was observed in any school. Most of the children and
	cooks and helpers were from S.T community. This could be the reason that we did
	not observe any discrimination.
	<image/>
	MDM: No Discrimination of any kind
	ii) What is the system of serving and seating arrangements for eating?
	It was noticed that in all schools Mid-day-Meal was served in queue. The children
	either sit or stood in queue to take meal and then children used to sit in a row at
	school verandah. Then they recited a prayer and took the meal.
6.	VARIETY OF MENU:
	i) Has the school displayed its weekly menu at a place noticeable to
	community, and is it able to adhere to the menu displayed?
	It was found that in all schools (100%) a weekly menu was displayed at a place
	noticeable to community. In some school we observed that the information about
	quantity of food grains per child (@ 100 gram, 150 gram) was also displayed.
	ii) Who decides the menu?
	State (here U.T.) had given prescribed menu to all schools.
7.	(i) Is there variety in the food served or is the same type of food served
	daily?
	In all schools (100%) variety in the food preparation as given in prescribed menu
	such as rice, dal and vegetables or khichadi were found. Seasonal green
	vegetables were also found to be used in Mid-day-Meal.

suggested in prescribed menu. suggested in prescribed menu.		t was noticed that the daily menu was rice preparation, dal and vegetables, as
Sold.       ECREW       Chose U,78       (MENU)         Sold.       ECREW       Chose U,28       Ended State		
Image:		
<ul> <li>8. QUALITY &amp; QUANTITY OF MEAL :</li> <li>Feedback from children on <ul> <li>a) Quality of meal:</li> <li>In all schools (100%) quality of food was found to be good.</li> </ul> </li> <li>b) Quantity of meal: <ul> <li>In all schools (100%) the quantity of meal was found to be more than enouge all children.</li> <li>c) {If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestice improve.}</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		ર સામવાર ધાળ-ભાત-લીલા શાકભાજી, શાક અને કળા ર પંગાળવાર ખીરાકી-લીલા શાકભાજીનું શાક ર બુધવાર ધાળ-ભાત-લીલા શાકભાજીનું શાક અને કેળાં ર ગુરૂવાર ખીરાકી-લીલા શાકભાજીનું શાક ર ગુરૂવાર ધાળ-ભાત-લીલા શાકભાજીનું શાક અને કેળાં
Feedback from children on         a) Quality of meal:         In all schools (100%) quality of food was found to be good.         b) Quantity of meal:         In all schools (100%) the quantity of meal was found to be more than enouge all children.         c) {If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestice improve.}		Weekly menu displayed publically in school premises
<ul> <li>a) Quality of meal:</li> <li>In all schools (100%) quality of food was found to be good.</li> <li>b) Quantity of meal:</li> <li>In all schools (100%) the quantity of meal was found to be more than enough all children.</li> <li>c) {If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestion improve.}</li> </ul>	8.	QUALITY & QUANTITY OF MEAL :
In all schools (100%) quality of food was found to be good.         b) Quantity of meal:         In all schools (100%) the quantity of meal was found to be more than enouge all children.         c) {If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestice improve.}		eedback from children on
<ul> <li>b) Quantity of meal:</li> <li>In all schools (100%) the quantity of meal was found to be more than enough all children.</li> <li>c) {If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestice improve.}</li> </ul>		i) Quality of meal:
In all schools (100%) the quantity of meal was found to be more than enoug all children. c) {If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestic improve.}		n all schools (100%) quality of food was found to be good.
all children.		
c) {If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestic improve.}		n all schools (100%) the quantity of meal was found to be more than enough for
improve.}		
		-
		n all schools (100%) the children were happy with what they were being served in
their mid-day meal. It was noticed that U.T. of Dadra and Nagar Haveli had stopped the good practice of giving banana as supplementary food thrice in		neir mid-day meal. It was noticed that U.I. of Dadra and Nagar Haveli had

	<image/>
9.	SUPPLEMENTARY:
	(i) Is there school Health Card maintained for each child?
	All schools (100%) had maintained a Health Card for each child.
	(ii) What is the frequency of health check-up?
	The annual health check up was found to be conducted in all schools.
	<ul><li>(iii) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid,</li></ul>
	(iii) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid,
	(iii) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?
	<ul> <li>(iii) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?</li> <li>Out of 39 schools in 15 schools (38.46%) micronutrients and deworming medicines</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>(iii) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?</li> <li>Out of 39 schools in 15 schools (38.46%) micronutrients and deworming medicines were given to the needy children.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>(iii) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?</li> <li>Out of 39 schools in 15 schools (38.46%) micronutrients and deworming medicines were given to the needy children.</li> <li>(iv) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>(iii) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?</li> <li>Out of 39 schools in 15 schools (38.46%) micronutrients and deworming medicines were given to the needy children.</li> <li>(iv) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?</li> <li>In 15 schools (38.46%) Medical and Public Heath Department of Zilla Panchayat,</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>(iii) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?</li> <li>Out of 39 schools in 15 schools (38.46%) micronutrients and deworming medicines were given to the needy children.</li> <li>(iv) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?</li> <li>In 15 schools (38.46%) Medical and Public Heath Department of Zilla Panchayat, Shri Vinoba Bhave Civil Hospital, Silvassa had supplied these medicines. No health</li> </ul>

	<image/>		
	An unique activity: needs follow-up		
10.	STATUS OF COOKS:		
	(i) Who cooks and serves the meal? (Cook cum helper appointed by		
	the Department/VEC/PRI/Self Help Group/ NGO/Contractor)		
	In all schools a cook and helper appointed by the District Panchayat and SMC were		
	cooking and serving the meal.		
	(ii) Is the number of cooks and helpers engaged in the school as per GOI norms?		
	It was noticed, in all schools except one school at Payripada in Dudhani patelad,		
	had appointed one cook, one helper and one waterman on daily wages. The norms		
	laid down by the Govt of India were yet not being implemented by the U.T. But in		
	all school at present three persons were engaged for this purpose. All schools		
	reported that they had adequate cooks and helpers to meet the requirement.		
	(iii) What is remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers and mode of		
	payment?		
	In all schools cook, helper and waterman were appointed by U.T. on daily wages.		
	A cook was paid Rs.74.80, helper Rs. 68.30 and waterman Rs. 68.30 as a part-time		
	wages. The mode of payment was cash.		
	(iv) Is the remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers regularly?		
	The payment given to the cooks and helpers was found to be delayed by 15-20 days in every month.		

	(v) Specify the social Composition of cooks cum helpers?			
	(SC/ST/OBC/Minority)			
	Almost in all schools (70%) women were appointed as a cook and helper. Ou			
38 women, 02 women were from SC, 35 women were from ST, and one wo				
was from Minority community.				
11.	INFRASTRUCTURE:			
	Is a pucca kitchen shed-cum-store:			
	i) Constructed and in use			
	Out of 39 schools a separate Pucca Kitchen shed was constructed and in use in 5			
schools (12.82%).				
	ii) Scheme under which Kitchen sheds constructed -MDM/SSA/Others			
	It was informed that the kitchen shed were constructed by the District Panchayat.			
	iii) Constructed but not in use (Reasons for not using)			
	No such case was found.			
	iv) Under construction			
	No such case was found			
	v) Sanctioned, but construction not started			
	No such case was found			
	vi) Not sanctioned			
	Almost all schools (79.87%) had been not sanctioned pucca kitchen shed cum-			
	store.			
	vii) Any other (specify)			
	No such case was found.			
12.	In case the pucca kitchen shed is not available, where is the food being			
	cooked and where are the food grains / other ingredients being stored.			
	It was found that where the kitchen shed was not available the food was cooked			
	in the school verandah in 7 schools (17.95%), unutilized spare classroom in 19			
	schools (48.72%), vacant teachers' quarters in 7 schools (17.95%), under stairway			
	in 3 schools (7.69%) and hostel kitchen in one Govt aided school at Khanvel. We			
	had observed that meal was being prepared at the P.S. Payaripada in Dudhni			
	patelad in classroom simultaneously with the classroom activities. A large no of			
	schools lacked storage place for food items. We had observed that the food grains			
	were stored in the classroom in 24 schools (61.54%), Headmaster's office in 3			
	schools, vacant teachers' quarter in 3 schools, separate store in 5 schools and in			
	kitchen shed in 3 schools.			



MDM: Prepared in the school verandah



MDM: Prepa	ared under	stairway
------------	------------	----------

13.	Whether potable water is available for cooking and drinking purpose?	
	All schools had potable water for cooking and drinking.	
14.	Whether utensils are available for cooking food? If, available is it	
	adequate?	
	All schools had an adequate utensils for cooking. It was found, the District	
	Panchayat of U.T. of Dadra & Nagar Haveli had provided plates to all children	
	which is an exmplery.	
15.	What is the kind of fuel used? (Gas based/firewood etc.)	
	L.P.G. was used as fuel to prepare the Mid-day Meal in all schools.	

16.	SAFETY & HYGIENE:		
	i. General Impression of the environment, safety and hygiene:		
Out of 39 schools, in 24 schools (61.54%) general impression about the			
	environment and hygiene was fair and in 07 schools it was poor. But safety		
	measures needs to be taken care of in most of the schools.		
	ii. Are children encouraged to wash hands before and after eating		
	It was observed that in all schools students were encouraged to wash their hands		
	before and after eating food.		
	iii. Do the children take meals in an orderly manner?		
	It was observed that in all schools the children were taking meals in a disciplined manner.		
	iv. Conservation of water?		
	It was observed that in all schools the children were encouraged to use water		
	carefully while washing hands and dishes.		
	v. Is the cooking process and storage of fuel safe, not posing any fire		
	hazard?		
	It was observed that in most of the schools (84.62%) there was no separate		
	kitchen shed. At present cooking process and storage system of fuel was found		
	safe, not posing any fire hazard. But it needs to be taken care of.		
	<image/>		
17.	COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND AWARENESS:		
	i) Extent of participation by Parents / VECs / Panchayats / Urban		
	bodies in daily supervision, monitoring, participation.		
	Out of 37 schools, in 22 schools (56.41%) it was noticed that the VEC/PTA/MTA		
	had supervised and monitored the Mid-day-Meal programme once in a month. The		
	overall participation or contribution made by parents, VECs, Panchayats and urban		
	bodies to improve quality of the meal was found poor in all schools.		

	ii) Is any roster being maintained by the community members for		
	supervision of the MDM?		
It was found that out of 39 schools, in 26 schools (66.67%) roster was being			
maintained by the community members.			
	iii) Are the parents/community members aware about the following :		
	a) Quantity of MDM per child at Primary & upper primary : (1,2,3,4,5)		
	It was found that very few parents/ community members found to be aware about		
	the quantity of MDM per child at primary and upper primary level. They only said		
	that their children are getting enough food in school.		
	b) Entitlement of quantity and types of nutrients in MDM per child as		
	supplied in the menu :		
	We had interaction with the parents /community members about entitlement of		
	quantity and nutrients in MDM per child. It was found that they were not fully		
	aware about the entitlement of quantity and type of nutrients in MDM per child.		
	iv) Source of awareness about the MDM scheme :		
,			
	It was noticed that the source of awareness of parents and community members		
	regarding MDM scheme was mostly the children, teachers and newspapers.		
	<complex-block></complex-block>		
	<image/> <complex-block></complex-block>		
8.	<image/> <image/> <text></text>		
8.	<image/> <text><text><text></text></text></text>		

	inspected by the District level officials. Except the single visit in 2 schools, no State level inspection was found.	
	ii) The frequency of such inspections?	
	The frequency of such inspections was found once in a month by the cluster level officials in 35 schools	
	iii) Remarks made by the visiting of officers? if any?	
	No particular remarks had been written by the visiting officers.	
19.	IMPACT	
	Has the mid day meal improved the enrollment, attendance of children i school, any improvement in general well being, nutritional status of the	
	children (to be verified from school record, discussion with students,	
	teachers and parents. Is there any other incidental benefit to the	
	children and school due to serving of mid-day-meal by VEC, PRI	
	members?	
	It was reported that in 30 schools the Mid-day Meal programme had helped to	
	improve the enrollment and attendance of children. It was also reported that MDM	
	has helped to improve children's health. But no such record was maintained by the	
	school.	
В.	Any other issues relevant to MDM implementation	
	MI may give a maximum 2 page note, on any other issues, relevant to	
	MDM implementation, not covered above.	
	<ul> <li>It was found an unique example that in each school one cook, one helper and one waterman were appointed by the District Panchayat.</li> <li>Every school in U.T. of Dadra &amp; Nagar Haveli had been given two L.P.G. cylinder with connection. Whenever required L.P.G cylinder was delivered at the school.</li> <li>The Medical and Public Health Department of Zilla Panchayat – had maintained a Health card and Health identity card for each child and the Department had fully computerized health data of each child. Health identity card for each child which was found an unique thing that can be adopted everywhere.</li> <li>It was reported that edible oil, split pulses and condiments were being supplied to central school. From the central school, schools within that cluster have to come to collect their material. In such centralized distribution system some schools were not getting their items like oil, split pulses and condiments as per their requirement and one teacher was fully engaged at the CPS for this purpose of distribution.</li> </ul>	

## School list with DISE code and Criteria District: U.T. Of Dadra and Nagar Haveli

Sr.No	Name of the school/ Village	DISE Code	Criteria
1	U.P.S. Morpada	26010103501	1
2	P.S. Paladpada	26010103202	2
3	P.S. Maragpada- Khadoli	26010103203	3
4	U.P.S. Karachgam	26010105801	11
5	U.P.S. Chinchpada	26010102702	1
6	C.P.S. Surangi	26010103301	1
7	P.S. Fanaspada	26010103401	2
8	U.P.S. Apti	26010103401	11
9	C.P.S. Vaghachhipa	26010100401	2
10	U.P.S. Dokmardi	26010107301	4
11	U.P.S. Ghodbari	26010105101	1
12	P.S. Payripada	26010105205	2
13	U.P.S. Gyanmata High school Khanvel	26010103908	2,7
14	U.P.S. Umarvarni	26010104001	11
15	P.S. Ozarpada	26010102005	2
16	U.P.S. Sili	26010100509	3
17	P.S. Kuvapada	26010100505	3
18	P.S. Hatpada	26010102007	8
19	U.P.S. Sindoni	26010106901	1,10,4
20	U.P.S. Chisada	26010106401	5,10
21	P.S. Hedachimal (Chisda)	26010106408	2,4
22	U.P.S. Sutharpada	26010168802	8
23	P.S. Kumbarvadi	26010104107	2
24	P.S. Kakad falia (Naroli)	26010107211	2
25	U.P.S. Dhapsa	26010101301	5
26	P.S Bordenpada	26010102805	8
27	P.S Vaijalpada	26010102105	1
28	U.P.S Dungarpada	26010102101	2
29	U.P.S Nana Randha	26010100701	1,7,10,4
30	U.P.S Akalbara (Bonta)	26010100901	2,10
31	P.S Dhamodia pada	26010100905	8
32	P.S Khadakipada	26010100604	8
33	C.P.S Baldevi	26010107209	2,4
34	U.P.S Dandulfalia	26010107202	2,4
35	P.S Ultanfalia	26010107307	2
36	U.P.S Silvassa	26010107312	4,7
37	P.S Kakadiya falia (Silvassa)	26010101402	7
38	P.S Dayatfalia	26010107210	7
39	P.S Patalia falia	26010103910	7
40	K.G.B.V Khanvel	26010103910	13

### **ABBREVIATIONS**

BRC	Block Resource Centre
BRCC	Block Resource Centre Coordinator
CAL	Computer Aided Learning
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CPS	Center Primary School
CRC	Cluster Resource Centre
CWSN	Children with Special Needs
DISE	District Information System for Education
DP	District Panchayat
DPO	District Project Office
GOI	Government of India
MI	Monitoring Institute
MDM	Mid-Day-Meal
MHRD	Ministry of Human Resource Development
MIS	Management Information System
ΜΤΑ	Mother Teacher Association
NCERT	National Council of Educational Research and Training
NPEGEL	National Programme for Education of Girls at Elementary Level
ΡΑ	Private Aided
ΡΤΑ	Parent Teacher Association
PWD	Public Works Department
SC	Scheduled Caste
SMC	School Management Committee
SPO	State Project Office
SSA	Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan
ST	Scheduled Tribe
U. T.	Union Territory
VEC	Village Education Committee